

56 Town Line Road, Rocky Hill, CT 06067 860-571-6191 fax: 860-571-6190

## Testimony of Lori J. Pelletier, Secretary-Treasurer, Connecticut AFL-CIO before the Labor and Public Employees Committee

February 6, 2007

Good Afternoon Senator Prague, Representative Ryan and the Members of the Labor and Public Employees Committee. I am Lori Pelletier and I serve as Secretary-Treasurer of the Connecticut AFL-CIO. I am here on behalf of our 900 affiliated local unions from all across this great state who represent 211,000 working men and women, and I appreciate the invitation to address this committee.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you this afternoon regarding the bills you have before your committee.

Proposed S.B. No. 402 AN ACT CREATING AN ADDITIONAL RETIREMENT INCOME OPTION UNDER THE STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM. Support

We support this legislation. Any legislation that corrects a problem or enhances the negotiated retirement benefits of CT's workers is good legislation.

Proposed S.B. No. 848 AN ACT CONCERNING LOANS TO MUNICIPALITIES TO FULLY FUND PENSION SYSTEMS. Support

We strongly support this legislation. With nearly 50 cities and towns in CT facing significant unfunded liabilities, we feel this is the least harmful and costly way of addressing and solving the problem once and for all. This "revolving fund" will allow the municipalities to invest the funds and pay off the indebtedness with less strain om taxpayers.

 $\underline{S.B.}$  No.  $\underline{1050}$  (RAISED) AN ACT CONCERNING RETIREMENT BENEFIT OPTIONS FOR SPOUSES OF CERTAIN DECEASED STATE EMPLOYEES. Support

We support this legislation. Spouses of deceased state employees should be entitled to their dead spouses pensions and be able to have benefit choices that make sense for them and their families.

PRESIDENT

John W. Olsen

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

Salvatore Luciano

SECRETARY-TREASURER Lori J. Pelletier

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARY**Leo Canty

GENERAL VICE PRESIDENT Brian Petronella

VICE PRESIDENTS John A. Altieri

Linda Armstrong Tammie Botelho Thomas Bruenn Peggy Buchanan Wayne J. Burgess Peter S. Carozza, Jr.
Everett Corey
Ben Cozzi
Dik Days
Kenneth DelaCruz
John Dirzius
Mark Espinosa
Bill Henderson
James Howell
Clarke King
Elizabeth Kuehnel

Thomas Ledoux Mike Livingstone Dwight Loines Kevin Lynch Steve Matthews John McCarthy Jeff Merrow Jean Marningstar Charles Page Sharon M. Palmer Steven Perruccio Michael Petosa Ronald Petronella Robert Proto Carmen Reyes Robert Santo Edward Sasso Ray Soucy Paul Wallace Kurt Westby Thomas Wilkinson S.B. No. 1082 (RAISED) AN ACT CONCERNING ELIMINATION OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR COMPANIES THAT REDUCE RETIREMENT BENEFITS. Support

This is important and overdue legislation that would stop a growing and disturbing trend of companies reneging on their retirement obligations to their employees. This is a good first step but we must find a way to stop all of corporate America from stealing retirement benefits owed to their employees.

<u>Proposed H.B. No. 5696</u> AN ACT CONCERNING FUNDING OF EMPLOYER-SPONSORED RETIREMENT PLANS. **Support** 

We support this legislation though it is sad that we need a law to ensure that businesses notify an employee when they fail to fully fund an employer sponsored retirement plan. This legislation might also be expanded to cover CT's municipalities. A large part of the reason the city of Waterbury's pension fund is still \$470 million unfunded was it's failure to fully fund the employee pension fund.

<u>Proposed H.B. No. 5707</u> AN ACT PROHIBITING THE DIMINISHMENT OR ELIMINATION OF MUNICIPAL RETIREE BENEFITS. **Support** 

We support this legislation, though, we feel that it might be redundant based on a law passed last year.

HB. No. 6958 (RAISED) AN ACT EXTENDING HAZARDOUS DUTY RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR CLERICAL WORKERS AT CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS. Support

H.B. No. 6988 (RAISED) AN ACT UPDATING THE SOCIAL SECURITY RETIREMENT AGE TO REFLECT FEDERAL CHANGES. Support

We strongly support this legislation. It's only effect will be to bring CT law up to the reality of an increasing retirement age. The benefit is intended to be a retirement supplement until full retirement which traditionally at age 65. That is no longer the case. Retirement age is increasing and the state law has not been updated to keep up with this change. This is an important and necessary change.